

REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register: 30 September 2004
Name of applicant/court: The District Court at Christchurch
Applicant to the Labelling Body: Not Applicable
Title of Publication: Some Pigs Part Two
Other Known Title(s): Some Pigs Part II
Director(s): Not Stated
Producer(s): Not Stated
Publisher: Not Applicable
Author(s): Not Applicable
Format: Video Recording VHS/PAL
Country of Origin: USA
Language(s): English

.....

Components of film originally examined:

Feature:	Some Pigs Part Two	Running Time:	96.12
Trailers:	Nil	Running Time:	
		Total Running Time:	96.12

Excision/Alteration: Not Applicable.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

Classification Decision:

Objectionable.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

.....

Direction to issue a label has been given on: Not Applicable.

.....

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

The video recording entitled *Some Pigs Part Two* is classified as:
Objectionable.

This classification is because the publication promotes and supports the use of urine in association with sexual conduct. The video recording explicitly presents urophilia for the purpose of arousing the viewer.

The classification of this publication interferes with the freedom of expression as set out in section 14 of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990. This outcome is consistent, however, with Parliament's intention in the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993 that publications which promote and support the use of urine and excrement in association with degrading conduct and sexual conduct are objectionable.

OFLC No: 401434